#### 105TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

# S. 1554

To provide for relief from excessive punitive damage awards in cases involving primarily financial loss by establishing rules for proportionality between the amount of punitive damages and the amount of economic loss.

### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

November 13, 1997

Mr. Hatch (for himself and Mr. Lieberman) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

## A BILL

To provide for relief from excessive punitive damage awards in cases involving primarily financial loss by establishing rules for proportionality between the amount of punitive damages and the amount of economic loss.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Fairness in Punitive
- 5 Damage Awards Act".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.
- 7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

1	(1) punitive damage awards in jury verdicts in
2	financial injury cases are a serious and growing
3	problem, and according to a Rand Institute for Civil
4	Justice study in 1997 of punitive damage verdicts
5	from calendar years 1985 through 1994 in States
6	that represent 25 percent of the United States popu-
7	lation—
8	(A) nearly 50 percent of all punitive dam-
9	age awards are made in financial injury cases
10	(those in which the plaintiff is alleging a finan-
11	cial injury only and is not alleging injuries to
12	either person or property);
13	(B) punitive damages are awarded in 1 in
14	every 7 financial injury verdicts overall and 1 in
15	every 5 financial injury cases in the State of
16	California;
17	(C) between calendar years 1985 through
18	1989 and calendar years 1990 through 1994,
19	the average punitive damage verdict in financial
20	injury cases increased from \$3,400,000 to
21	\$7,600,000;
22	(D) between calendar years 1985 through
23	1989 and calendar years 1990 through 1994,
24	the award of such damages at the 90th percent-

ile increased from \$3,900,000 to \$12,100,000;

1	(E) between calendar years 1985 through
2	1989 and calendar years 1990 through 1994,
3	the total amount of punitive damages awarded
4	increased from \$1,200,000,000 to
5	\$2,300,000,000, for a 10-year total of
6	\$3,500,000,000;
7	(F) punitive damages represent a very
8	large percentage of total damages awarded in
9	all financial injury verdicts, increasing from 44
10	percent to 59 percent during the period ana-
11	lyzed; and
12	(G) in the State of Alabama, punitive dam-
13	ages represent 82 percent of all damages
14	awarded in financial injury cases;
15	(2)(A) punitive damage verdicts are only the tip
16	of the iceberg because only a small percentage of all
17	complaints filed (1.6 percent according to a Depart-
18	ment of Justice study in 1995) result in a jury ver-
19	dict; and
20	(B) the Rand Institute of Civil Justice calls the
21	impact of these verdicts on settlements the "shadow
22	effect" of punitive damages;
23	(3) excessive, unpredictable, and often arbitrary
24	punitive damage awards have a direct and undesir-
25	able effect on interstate commerce by increasing the

- 1 cost and decreasing the availability of goods and 2 services;
- (4) as a result of excessive, unpredictable, and often arbitrary punitive damage awards, consumers have been adversely affected through the withdrawal of products, producers, services, and service providers from the marketplace, and from excessive liability costs passed on to consumers through higher prices;
  - (5) excessive, unpredictable, and often arbitrary punitive damage awards jeopardize the financial well-being of many individuals and companies, particularly the Nation's small businesses, and adversely affect government and taxpayers;
  - (6) individual State legislatures can create only a partial remedy to address these problems because each State lacks the power to control the imposition of punitive damages in other States;
  - (7) it is the constitutional role of the national Government to remove barriers to interstate commerce and to protect due process rights;
  - (8) there is a need to restore rationality, certainty, and fairness to the award of punitive damages in order to protect against excessive, arbitrary, and uncertain awards;

1	(9) establishing a rule of proportionality, in
2	cases that primarily involve financial injury, between
3	the amount of punitive damages awarded and the
4	amount of compensatory damages, as 15 States have
5	established, would—
6	(A) be fair to both plaintiffs and defend-
7	ants; and
8	(B) address the constitutional objection of
9	the United States Supreme Court in BMW of
10	North America v. Gore 116 S. Ct. 1589 (1996)
11	to punitive damages that are grossly excessive
12	in relation to the harm suffered; and
13	(10) permitting a maximum for each claimant
14	recovery for punitive damages of the greater of 3
15	times the amount of economic loss or \$250,000 is a
16	balanced solution that would reduce grossly excessive
17	punitive damage awards by as much as 40 percent,
18	according to the Rand Institute for Civil Justice.
19	(b) Purposes.—Based upon the powers contained in
20	Article I, section 8, clause 3 and section 5 of the 14th
21	amendment of the United States Constitution, the pur-
22	poses of this Act are to—
23	(1) promote the free flow of goods and services
24	and to lessen burdens on interstate commerce; and

1	(2) uphold constitutionally protected due proc-
2	ess rights by placing reasonable limits on damages
3	over and above the actual damages suffered by a
4	claimant.
5	SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
6	For purposes of this Act, the term—
7	(1) "act of terrorism" means any activity
8	that—
9	(A)(i) is a violation of the criminal laws of
10	the United States or any State; or
11	(ii) would be a criminal violation if com-
12	mitted within the jurisdiction of the United
13	States or any State; and
14	(B) appears to be intended to intimidate or
15	coerce a civilian population, to influence the
16	policy of a government by intimidation or coer-
17	cion, or to affect the conduct of a government
18	by assassination or kidnaping;
19	(2) "claimant"—
20	(A) means any person who brings a civil
21	action that is subject to this Act and any per-
22	son on whose behalf such an action is brought;
23	and
24	(B) includes—

1	(i) a claimant's decedent if such ac-
2	tion is brought through or on behalf of an
3	estate; and
4	(ii) a claimant's legal guardian if such
5	action is brought through or on behalf of
6	a minor or incompetent;
7	(3) "economic loss" means objectively verifiable
8	monetary losses including medical expenses, loss of
9	earnings, burial costs, loss of use of property, costs
10	of repair or replacement, costs of obtaining sub-
11	stitute domestic services, loss of employment, and
12	loss of business or employment opportunities, to the
13	extent such recovery is allowed under applicable
14	Federal or State law;
15	(4) "harm" means any legally cognizable wrong
16	or injury for which punitive damages may be im-
17	posed;
18	(5) "interstate commerce" means commerce
19	among the several States or with foreign nations, or
20	in any territory of the United States or in the Dis-
21	trict of Columbia, or between any such territory and
22	another, or between any such territory and any
23	State or foreign nation, or between the District of
24	Columbia and any State or territory or foreign na-

tion;

- 1 (6) "person" means any individual, corporation, 2 company, association, firm, partnership, society, 3 joint stock company, or any other entity (including 4 any governmental entity);
  - (7) "punitive damages" means damage awarded against any person to punish or deter such person, or others, from engaging in similar behavior in the future; and
  - (8) "qualified charity" means any organization exempt from filing information returns pursuant to section 6033(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as that exemption exists on the effective date of this Act.

#### 14 SEC. 4. APPLICABILITY.

#### (a) General Rule.—

(1) CIVIL ACTIONS COVERED.—Except as provided in subsection (b), this Act applies to any civil action brought in any Federal or State court where such action affects interstate commerce, charitable or religious activities, or implicates rights or interests that may be protected by Congress under section 5 of the 14th amendment of the United States Constitution and where the claimant seeks to recover punitive damages under any theory for harm that did not result in death, serious and permanent phys-

1 ical scarring or disfigurement, loss of a limb or 2 organ, or serious and permanent physical impair-3 ment of an important bodily function. Punitive damages may, to the extent permitted by applicable 5 State law, be awarded against a person in such a 6 case only if the claimant establishes that the harm 7 that is the subject of the action was proximately 8 caused by such person. Notwithstanding any other 9 provision of this Act, punitive damages may, to the 10 extent permitted by applicable State law, be awarded 11 against a qualified charity only if the claimant estab-12 lished by clear and convincing evidence that the 13 harm that is the subject of the action was proxi-14 mately caused by an intentionally tortious act of 15 such qualified charity.

(2) QUESTION OF LAW.—What constitutes death, serious and permanent physical scarring or disfigurement, loss of a limb or organ, or serious and permanent physical impairment of an important bodily function shall be a question of law for the court.

#### (b) Exceptions.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The provisions of this Act shall not apply to any person in a civil action described in subsection (a)(1) if the misconduct for

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	which punitive damages are awarded against that
2	person—
3	(A) constitutes a crime of violence (as that
4	term is defined in section 16 of title 18, United
5	States Code) for which the defendant has been
6	convicted in any court;
7	(B) constitutes an act of terrorism for
8	which the defendant has been convicted in any
9	court;
10	(C) constitutes a hate crime (as that term
11	is used in the Hate Crime Statistics Act, Public
12	Law 101–275; 104 Stat. 140; 28 U.S.C. 534
13	note) for which the defendant has been con-
14	victed in any court;
15	(D) occurred at a time when the defendant
16	was under the influence (as determined pursu-
17	ant to applicable State law) of intoxicating alco-
18	hol or any drug that may not lawfully be sold
19	without a prescription and had been taken by
20	the defendant other than in accordance with the
21	terms of a lawful prescription; or
22	(E) constitutes a felony sexual offense, as
23	defined by applicable Federal or State law, for
24	which the defendant has been convicted in any
25	court.

11 1 (2) Question of Law.—The applicability of 2 this subsection shall be a question of law for deter-3 mination by the court. The liability of any other per-4 son in such an action shall be determined in accord-5 ance with this Act. 6 SEC. 5. PROPORTIONAL AWARDS. 7 (a) Amount.— 8 (1) In General.—The amount of punitive 9 damages that may be awarded to a claimant in any

- civil action that is subject to this Act shall not exceed the greater of—
- 12 (A) 3 times the amount awarded to the 13 claimant for economic loss; or
  - (B) \$250,000.
- 15 (2) Special rule.—

10

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), in any civil action that is subject to this Act against an individual whose net worth does not exceed \$500,000 or against an owner of an unincorporated business, or any partnership, corporation, association, unit of local government, or organization that has fewer than 25 full-time employees, the amount of punitive damages shall not exceed the lesser of—

1	(i) 3 times the amount awarded to the
2	claimant for economic loss; or
3	(ii) \$250,000.
4	(B) Applicability.—For purposes of de-
5	termining the applicability of this paragraph to
6	a corporation, the number of employees of a
7	subsidiary of a wholly owned corporation shall
8	include all employees of a parent corporation or
9	any subsidiary of that parent corporation.
10	(b) Application of Limitations by the Court.—
11	The limitations in subsection (a) shall be applied by the
12	court and shall not be disclosed to the jury.
13	SEC. 6. PREEMPTION.
14	Nothing in this Act shall be construed to—
15	(1) create a cause of action for punitive dam-
16	ages;
17	(2) supersede or alter any Federal law;
18	(3) preempt or supersede any Federal or State
19	law to the extent such law would further limit the
20	award of punitive damages; or
21	(4) modify or reduce the ability of courts to
22	order remittitur.

#### 1 SEC. 7. FEDERAL CAUSE OF ACTION PRECLUDED.

- 2 The district courts of the United States shall not
- 3 have jurisdiction pursuant to this Act based on section
- 4 1331 or 1337 of title 28, United States Code.

#### 5 SEC. 8. EFFECTIVE DATE.

- 6 This Act applies to any civil action described in sec-
- 7 tion 4 that is commenced on or after the date of enactment
- 8 of this Act, without regard to whether the harm that is
- 9 the subject of the action or the conduct that caused the
- 10 harm occurred before such date of enactment.

C